

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/644,632	SHAY, A. DAVID
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Venkat Perungavoor	2132

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Venkat Perungavoor. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jack Todd(Reg. 44,375). (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 29 September 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 223.

Identification of prior art discussed: Beck & Hayes.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *The Applicant argued that the Host ID is not the same as the user identifier mentioned in the claims. And further argued that the blocking of unauthorized users was absent in Beck. And the Applicant would add more language clarifying the restricting of access and blocking of access to unauthorized users.*

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

**THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.** (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Venkat Perungavoor/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2132